

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/970,085	10/04/2001		Wayne Ernest Conrad	5562-800	9520
7	<b>1590</b>	01/15/2003			
Philip C. Mer		Costa	EXAMINER		
Bereskin & Parr 40 King Street West				CHIESA, RICHARD L	
Box 401 Toronto, ON M5H 3Y2				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1724	
				DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	<b>,</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/970,085	CONRAD ET AL.					
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Richard L. Chiesa	1724					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r sheet with the correspondence address Peri d f r Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 D</u>	<u> Pecember 2002</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disp sition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-59</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,9,10,14-16,20,21,23,24 and 29-59</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-8,11-13,17-19,22 and 25-28</u> is/are rejected.							
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-59 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 December 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	•						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
———a)-⊡-The-translation-of-the-foreign-language-provisional-application-has-been-received———————————————————————————————————							
Attachment(s)	5 priority under 60 0.0.0. 33 120	MIM/VI 161,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office							

1.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,085 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

**DETAILED ACTION** 

**Drawings** 

1. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on December 9, 2002. These

drawings have been approved by the PTO Draftsperson.

**Priority** 

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers 2.

have been placed of record in the file.

Election/Restrictions

Applicants' election without traverse of species B (Figure 12) in Paper No. 9, filed on 3.

December 9, 2002 is acknowledged.

4. Claims 5, 9, 10, 14-16, 20, 21, 23, 24, and 29-59 are withdrawn from further

consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected species. Election was

made without traverse in Paper No. 9, filed on December 9, 2002. Applicants listed 35, 36, 49,

and 50 as being readable on the elected species. However, this is impossible because these

claims depend from either one of independent claims 29 and 47, which are not readable upon the

elected species.

**\^** 

Art Unit: 1724

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: (A) The phrase "a 5.

by" (page 21, line 9) should apparently be changed to --as by--. (B) The numeral "50" (page 24,

line 14) should apparently be changed to --11--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11-13, 17-19, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second

paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject

matter which applicants regard as the invention. More specifically, the reasons for this rejection

are: (A) Claim 1 recites the limitation "the electronic filter" in line 9. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Apparently, the phrase -- an electronic filter

with-- should be inserted between "comprising" and "a" in the first line of clause (b). (B) Claim

17 recites the limitation "each electrically insulating layer" in line 7. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Apparently, the phrase --electrically insulating--

should be inserted between "dielectric" and "layer" in the first line of clause (b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,085

Art Unit: 1724

8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicants are advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 4

9. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11-13, 17-19, 22, and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inculet ('168) in view of Frey et al. Inculet ('168) discloses a vacuum cleaner apparatus and method (note Figures 1-6) with spaced apart electrically conductive metal plate members 32, 34, 36, 60, 62, 68, 70, and porous, nonconductive dielectric layer members 64, 72, 74, 76 (note col. 1, line 10 to col. 4, line 21, and col. 5, line 8 to col. 9, line 56) with triboelectric production substantially as claimed. It would appear that Inculet ('168) may not explicitly mention the uses of a vacuum cleaner head, convoluted air flow path, cyclone, or the members positioned sequentially. In any case, Frey et al (note Figures 1, 6-9) teach the well-known uses of a vacuum cleaner head A, convoluted air flow path P, cyclone C, and filtering members and/or layers 30, 80, 60 positioned sequentially in a vacuum cleaner apparatus and method for the purpose of handling a wide variety of airborne particles (note col. 2, lines 20-28). Consequently, it would have been readily obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ these

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/970,085

Art Unit: 1724

expedients in the Inculet ('168) vacuum cleaner apparatus and method in order to facilitate the

removal of a wide range of airborne pollutants as taught by Frey et al.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claim 1 would be allowable if: (A) rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection

under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action, (B) included the

limitations now contained in dependent claims 2, 4, and 7, and (C) defined the cyclone as being

located upstream of the electronic filter.

11. If applicants adopt the claim language suggested by the examiner in paragraph 10 above,

applicants should review all dependent claims and amend them in order to maintain consistent

terminology and avoid duplicate claims.

12. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicants' reply must either comply with

all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37

CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants'

disclosure. These references have been cited as art of interest to show other vacuum cleaners.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,085

Art Unit: 1724

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 14. examiner should be directed to Richard L. Chiesa whose telephone number is (703) 308-3791.

The fax phone number for Art Unit 1724 where this application is assigned is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661. Richard L. Chresa

January 8, 2003

Richard L. Chiesa **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 1724

Jan. 8, 2003